1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DANNY SAUL ROSALES, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-03-0230 GEB KJM P 12 VS. 13 DIANE BUTLER, Warden, et al., 14 Respondents. **ORDER** 15 16 On July 1, 2005, respondent filed an answer to the petition for a writ of habeas 17 corpus with exhibits A through E, each with several subparts. 18 Local Rule 5-133(f) provides in part: A person who electronically files attachments or exhibits that total 19 in excess of 50 pages must also provide a paper courtesy copy to chambers by delivering it to the Clerk. 20 21 On July 5, 2005, this court received the courtesy copies of exhibits A and C to respondent's answer, but did not receive courtesy copies of exhibits B, D, or E. Morever the 22 23 courtesy copies of exhibits A and C are incomplete. For example, in exhibit A, Bates-stamped pages 76-95 of part 4 are missing, as is all of part 5 (Bates stamped pages 96-120), and Bates-24 25 stamped pages 121-129 of part 6. Moreover, in exhibit C, part 33, the courtesy copy includes 26 Bates-stamped page numbers 177-200, which are not part of the electronically filed document.

## Case 2:03-cv-00230-JAM-CKD Document 26 Filed 07/19/05 Page 2 of 2

These examples are not exhaustive, but merely illustrative of the problems with the courtesy copies.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within thirty days of the date of this order, respondent shall provide a complete courtesy copy of all the exhibits electronically filed, even those which do not of themselves exceed fifty pages. Should respondent's counsel wish, the courtesy copies previously provided will be returned to her if she arranges for their retrieval. DATED: July 18, 2005.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

rosa0230.cc